Are plexic claims ever true? Are they ever false? What makes them true? Mind-independent reality Mind-dependent reality: (which obviously would need Something somehow to be better characterized) involving human aims and cognition Error theory: always false Not truth-apt. Expressivism/ noncognitivism of some sort, probably involving the same Robust plexic realism: made Modal reductionism: made panoply of options involving true by fundamental plexic something about unification others? true by the modal facts something about how our facts about human aims and facts of some kind biological species in fact into a simple/strong system? cognition as the 'mindorganizes info? how the dependent reality' branch of speaker does? truthmakers. widely agreed to fail, PLUS it might end up on the other fork anyway, Robust grounding realism Robust fundamentality realism depending on what you think makes modal claims true. one or several? multiple the distinction here turns on issues about how 'cheap' 'metaphysical' ones? Or (e.g.) facts, properties, and truth are. If you want more about metaphysical and normative? this, see Dreier 2004, "Meta-Ethics and the Problem of Creeping Minimalism," which is a lovely summary of what had been happening in that literature

this is a total handwave for now. Depending on how exactly

'mind-independent' is cashed out, there might be middle

positions, or different axes of mind-dependence, etc.